

**PARTICIPATORY COMMUNICATION AS A  
CATALYST FOR COMMUNITY  
EMPOWERMENT AND SUSTAINABLE  
DEVELOPMENT IN SELECTED RURAL AREAS  
IN NIGERIA**

**SHEHU, Amina**

Department of Development & Strategic Communication,  
University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria  
[amina.shehu@uniabuja.edu.ng](mailto:amina.shehu@uniabuja.edu.ng) +234806754435  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7203-7609>

**ADEBAYO, Babatunde Daud**

Department of Mass Communication, Ahman Pategi  
University, Patigi, Nigeria  
[daudadebayo2781@gmail.com](mailto:daudadebayo2781@gmail.com) +2348166940655  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0287-4252>

**AHMED, Abdullahi Aminullahi**

Department of Development & Strategic Communication,  
University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria  
[abdullahimeta@gmail.com](mailto:abdullahimeta@gmail.com) +2347037232396  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9078-9389>

**OMIDIJI, Olamilekan Qayum**

Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United Kingdom  
[olaqayum@gmail.com](mailto:olaqayum@gmail.com)  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4549-1733>

---

**Abstract**

This paper examines participatory communication as a transformative development communication strategy for empowering rural communities in Nigeria. Rooted in Participatory Communication Theory and framed within the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the study synthesises

existing literature and selected Nigerian use cases to highlight how inclusive, dialogic communication processes foster local ownership, resilience, and sustainable change. Case evidence reveals successful outcomes in areas like water and sanitation (SDG 6), gender equity (SDG 5), climate adaptation (SDG 13), and access to clean energy (SDG 7). Despite its promise, implementation challenges remain, including limited funding, institutional gaps, linguistic diversity, and resistance from entrenched power structures. Nonetheless, the study affirms that embedding participatory communication in Nigeria's development planning is critical to achieving inclusive and context-sensitive progress. The paper concludes that for sustainable development to take root in rural Nigeria, development programmes must prioritise participatory frameworks that amplify marginalised voices, promote collaborative problem-solving, and reflect indigenous knowledge systems. Accordingly, policymakers and development actors are urged to integrate these approaches into national strategies to foster empowered, self-reliant, and sustainable rural communities

**Keywords:** Sustainable Development, Development Communication, Participatory Communication, Marginalised Communities, SDGs, Nigeria

## **Introduction**

Rural communities in Nigeria continue to grapple with entrenched socio-economic challenges such as poor infrastructure, limited access to healthcare and education, and restricted economic opportunities, all of which impede sustainable development (Akinola, 2021; Okeke et al., 2022; UNICEF, 2020). Inadequate transport links isolate these areas, while unreliable electricity, affecting over 55% of Nigerians, disproportionately hinders rural progress (World Bank, 2023; Adeleye & Akinbobola, 2019). Traditional top-down communication approaches have proven ineffective in

addressing these issues, as they often exclude local voices and fail to reflect community-specific needs (Liman & Ngah, 2015). This lack of participatory engagement perpetuates information gaps and weakens the impact of development interventions (Jato, 2022).

In contrast, participatory communication promotes two-way interaction, positioning communities as active agents in development. It respects cultural contexts, amplifies marginalised voices, and utilises tools like community radio, workshops, and digital platforms to facilitate inclusive dialogue (Mefalopulos, 2017; Servaes, 2018). This approach fosters accountability, sustainability, and alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 16, which emphasises inclusive societies and effective governance (Ezeh & Agwu, 2018).

Despite its relevance, Nigeria's development frameworks, Vision 20:2020, the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP), the Medium-Term Plan for 2017–2020, the Economic Sustainability Plan (ESP), and even the current National Development Plan (NDP) 2021–2025 Volume I and Agenda 2050, have largely ignored participatory communication, limiting their effectiveness in addressing rural realities. Against this backdrop, this study comprehensively synthesises extant literature and analysing selected use cases to make a compelling case for integrating participatory communication into Nigeria's national development agenda to enhance community resilience and self-sustainability.

## **Objectives of the Study**

Generally, this study aim to make a compelling case for integrating participatory communication into Nigeria's national development agenda to enhance community resilience and self-sustainability.

1. To assess the role of participatory communication in empowering rural communities in Nigeria for sustainable development.
2. To assess the effectiveness of participatory communication in promoting Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) within rural Nigerian communities.
3. To identify the challenges of implementing participatory communication in rural development projects within Nigerian communities.

## **Literature Review**

### **Community development, sustainable development, development communication, empowerment, and participatory communication**

Community development, sustainable development, development communication, empowerment, and participatory communication are interlinked concepts central to inclusive and sustainable progress in rural settings. Community development refers to collective efforts aimed at improving the social, economic, and environmental conditions of a locality, with participation and empowerment as core principles (Phillips & Pittman, 2009). Such empowerment enables communities to build resilience and assert agency over decisions affecting their lives (Kimengsi & Gwan, 2017).

Sustainable development, as popularised by the Brundtland Commission (1987), integrates economic growth, social equity, and environmental protection, recognising that long-term progress requires not just financial investment, but also cultural relevance, education, and inclusive governance (Stacherzak et al., 2012; Engjellushe, 2013). However, progress toward the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) remains uneven, often hindered by weak institutional

frameworks and lack of grassroots involvement (Swain & Wallentin, 2019).

Meanwhile, development communication emerged in the mid-20th century as a strategic tool to address global development issues, initially dominated by top-down, modernisation-oriented messaging (Rogers, 1976). Over time, the field shifted toward participatory models that value dialogue, local knowledge, and collaborative action (Servaes, 2021). This transformation laid the foundation for participatory communication, which now plays a vital role in giving voice to marginalised groups, particularly through digital and local media (Gupta & Deo, 2023).

Participatory communication, therefore, functions not merely as a method but as a philosophy of engagement. It reframes individuals as co-creators of solutions and promotes two-way interaction in development initiatives (McGowan et al., 2022). Whether applied through community radio, participatory video, or citizen-led monitoring, it enhances local ownership and ensures that interventions are responsive to contextual realities (Guénin et al., 2022). At its best, it unites the goals of development, sustainability, and empowerment under a single inclusive framework

### **Link between participatory communication, community empowerment, and sustainable development**

Integrating participatory communication into development initiatives fosters more sustainable outcomes. When communities shape interventions, they are more likely to support and sustain them. Health campaigns co-developed with community leaders, such as those promoting maternal health and hygiene, demonstrate higher levels of trust and engagement (Akpabio, 2012). Similarly, participatory communication in Brazil's urban agriculture projects enhanced

resilience to environmental challenges (Silva et al., 2022). Another illustrative examples is a participatory media project in Kenya, where local radio was used to engage youth in dialogues on health and education, fostering ownership and social cohesion (Othieno, 2022). Likewise, Ogunleye *et al.* (2023) documented how community-led storytelling in Nigeria raised climate change awareness and empowered residents to advocate for environmental justice. These initiatives demonstrate the impact of communication strategies that centre on local narratives and lived experiences. According to Albrecht *et al.* (2021), such involvement enhances sustainability and community support for development outcomes. Despite these advances, challenges remain as limited access to communication technologies, especially in rural or marginalised areas, hampers participation. Nyamnjoh (2021) highlights the persistent digital divide as a major barrier to inclusive communication. Moreover, internal community power dynamics can restrict the voices of the most vulnerable.

### **Theoretical Framework: Participatory Communication Theory**

Participatory communication theory emerged from the work of Brazilian educator Paulo Freire in the 1970s, whose emphasis on dialogue as a cornerstone of education laid the groundwork for inclusive communication practices. Since then, the concept has expanded into a range of frameworks that prioritise community involvement in shaping development processes (Gómez & Beltrán, 2024). Central to the theory are principles such as dialogical engagement, where all participants contribute equally to knowledge exchange (Molosi-France & Dipholo, 2019); empowerment, which enables marginalised groups to voice their needs and shape responses (Molosi-France & Dipholo, 2019); and co-creation of knowledge, ensuring shared ownership of outcomes (Tanabe et al., 2017). Feedback-driven, iterative communication further fosters adaptability and learning (Aminah, 2022), while

cultural relevance remains critical to ensuring messages resonate within specific contexts (Gómez & Beltrán, 2024).

In this study, the participatory framework is apt for assessing how community dialogue can engender locally driven identification of needs and solutions. It also provides a basis for evaluating how participatory methods support the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in rural Nigerian contexts. Moreover, the model also draws attention to the persistent challenges in rural development, where power imbalances, infrastructural constraints, and cultural barriers may limit effective implementation.

## **Methodology**

The study adopts a narrative review methodology to explore the role of participatory communication in empowering Nigerian rural communities for sustainable development. Narrative reviews synthesise existing literature to provide a comprehensive understanding of a topic, highlighting trends, gaps, and key insights. This method is particularly suited for assessing complex social issues, as it allows for a broad analysis of diverse sources and perspectives. By reviewing theoretical and empirical studies as well as relevant use cases, this approach enables a holistic understanding of how participatory communication contributes to community resilience and self-sustainability, forming a solid basis for advocating its integration into Nigeria's national development agenda.

## **Result**

### **Role of participatory communication in empowering rural communities in Nigeria for sustainable development**

Participatory communication has proven instrumental in empowering rural communities in Nigeria by enhancing ownership, capacity, and sustainability in development efforts.

- **Community Ownership:** For instance, the Community and Social Development Project (CSDP) illustrates how involving residents in decision-making and co-financing nurtures a sense of ownership, which in turn promotes long-term commitment to project outcomes (World Bank Group, 2021a).
- **Capacity-Building and Knowledge Transfer:** Capacity-building efforts, particularly in agriculture, further demonstrate the value of participatory communication, as farmers receive training in climate-resilient practices, enabling locally adapted responses to environmental pressures (Adetola et al., 2024; Kigbu et al., 2018).
- **Adoption of Sustainable Agricultural Practice:** Such frameworks have also supported the adoption of sustainable agricultural methods, including soil conservation and water management strategies, empowering communities as stewards of their natural resources (World Bank Group, 2021b).
- **Provision of Community Infrastructure and Social Services:** In terms of infrastructure, participatory mechanisms have guided the delivery of social services, such as rural water systems and electrification, by aligning projects with local priorities, thereby increasing relevance and resilience (Ajewumi & Olaleye, 2015; World Bank Group, 2021a).

Overall, participatory communication not only strengthens community agency but also fosters culturally grounded, sustainable development. Nonetheless, its full potential depends on addressing systemic constraints and enabling inclusive governance

## **Effectiveness of participatory communication in promoting Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) within rural Nigerian communities**

The effectiveness of participatory communication is reflected across multiple dimensions of rural development:

- **Alignment with Community Priorities:** Participatory approaches ensure that development efforts respond directly to locally identified needs. In projects such as the CSDP, rural communities prioritised clean water and sanitation, leading to the construction of water storage and purification systems (Ajewumi & Olaleye, 2015; World Bank Group, 2021a). This not only supports SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation) but also contributes to better health, poverty reduction, and overall well-being.
- **Enhanced Ownership and Project Sustainability:** When communities actively engage in decision-making, they are more committed to maintaining projects. Agricultural initiatives that employ participatory methods have equipped farmers with sustainable practices, reinforcing resilience and supporting SDG 2 (zero hunger) and SDG 13 (climate action) (Kigbu et al., 2018; World Bank Group, 2021b).
- **Energy Access and Clean Energy Initiatives:** The UNDP's Africa Mini-grids Programme (AMP) demonstrates how involving communities in the planning and management of solar mini-grids can effectively promote SDG 7 (affordable and clean energy). Local engagement enhances community capacity to operate and sustain these systems, securing long-term energy solutions (UNDP, n.d.).
- **Environmental Conservation and Circular Economy:** Participatory communication also underpins environmental initiatives like the UNIDO-EU circular

economy project, which addresses SDGs 12 and 13 by involving stakeholders in sustainable waste management and recycling practices (UNIDO, 2024). Similarly, the ACRoSAL project integrates local knowledge into climate adaptation strategies, fostering community responsibility for land and resource conservation (World Bank Group, 2021b).

- **Education and Gender Equality:** Participatory processes have guided community-led investment in education infrastructure, enhancing access to quality education (SDG 4), while also empowering women to take on leadership roles in community governance, thereby advancing SDG 5 (gender equality) (UNDP, 2024b; World Bank Group, 2021a).

In essence, participatory communication is a critical enabler of sustainable development in rural Nigeria. It strengthens the relevance, responsiveness, and impact of development efforts by rooting them in local knowledge and lived experience.

### **Challenges of implementing participatory communication in rural development projects within Nigerian communities**

While participatory communication holds promise for enhancing community empowerment and sustainability, its implementation in rural Nigerian development projects is hindered by several entrenched challenges:

- **Institutional and Structural Limitations:** The absence of robust institutional frameworks often reduces participatory efforts to token gestures with limited long-term value (Tufté & Mefalopulos, 2009; UNIDO, 2024). Many local governments lack the technical capacity, human resources, and consistent policy backing required to sustain

engagement, resulting in fragmented or unsustainable participatory practices.

- **Funding Constraints:** Sustaining participatory communication demands financial investment in training, facilitation, and infrastructure (Folayan & Dokunmu, 2018; World Bank Group, 2021a). However, budgetary limitations, as seen in CSDP projects, often constrain community mobilisation efforts and reduce the scope or continuity of participatory activities (World Bank Group, 2021a).
- **Cultural and Language Barriers:** Nigeria's linguistic and cultural diversity creates difficulties in ensuring inclusive participation. Language mismatches can impede mutual understanding, while hierarchical cultural norms may marginalise women, youth, or minority groups from contributing meaningfully to development discussions (Kigbu et al., 2018; Tufte & Mefalopulos, 2009).
- **Resistance to Change:** In communities and institutions accustomed to top-down models, participatory methods may be viewed with suspicion or as a threat to established power structures. This resistance, by either local elites or development practitioners, can inhibit genuine collaboration and reduce community willingness to engage (Adetola et al., 2024; Folayan & Dokunmu, 2018).

Thus, while participatory communication can serve as a catalyst for sustainable development, its success in rural Nigeria is constrained by institutional weakness, financial limitations, cultural complexities, and entrenched resistance.

## **Discussion**

This study, therefore reaffirms the critical role of participatory communication in empowering rural Nigerian communities and advancing sustainable development, moving beyond

traditional top-down approaches to foster active local involvement in decision-making and project ownership. The Community and Social Development Project (CSDP) exemplifies how such engagement strengthens community resilience and sustainability, reflecting similar successes like Ghana's Access Project (Anani-Bossman & Blankson, 2024). Participatory communication also proves effective in aligning development initiatives with community priorities, enhancing relevance and sustainability in areas such as water, education, and energy, as seen in Nigeria's SEEFOR-CDDS project (Asak & Mbazie, 2024).

However, the study reveals significant challenges, including limited funding, weak institutional support, and structural barriers that often lead to superficial participation, consistent with findings by Letsie and Osunkule (2024). Cultural and linguistic diversity further complicates inclusion, necessitating adaptable, context-sensitive communication frameworks that incorporate local languages and media like community radio (Tufté & Mefalopulos, 2009; Asak & Mbazie, 2024). While this study provides robust evidence for participatory communication's benefits grounded in established theory and aligned with comparable projects across Africa, dependence on secondary data restricts generalisability. Nevertheless, the findings imply that realising the full potential of participatory communication requires integrated policy reforms, increased institutional support, and culturally attuned approaches to foster truly inclusive, sustainable rural development.

## **Conclusion**

This study affirms the transformative power of participatory communication in empowering rural communities and fostering sustainable development across Nigeria. By facilitating inclusive dialogue, promoting local ownership, and amplifying marginalised voices, participatory communication emerges as a vital tool for addressing the shortcomings of

traditional top-down development models. From water and sanitation to education, agriculture, and energy, participatory approaches ensure that community priorities drive development interventions, thereby enhancing their sustainability and cultural relevance. However, realising this potential requires deliberate efforts to overcome structural barriers such as weak institutional support, funding constraints, and socio-cultural resistance.

## **Recommendation**

The following recommendations are proposed:

- **Institutionalise Participatory Communication Frameworks:** Government and development agencies should adopt participatory communication as a core strategy in rural development planning.
- **Strengthen Community Involvement in SDG Implementation:** Development interventions targeting the SDGs should prioritise active community participation at every stage, from needs assessment to implementation and evaluation.
- **Address Structural and Cultural Barriers:** To improve implementation, participatory projects must be backed by consistent funding, institutional support, and capacity-building efforts. Additionally, culturally sensitive communication strategies, such as using local languages and traditional media, should be employed to ensure inclusivity, especially among marginalised groups.

## **References**

- Adeleye, A. B., & Akinbobola, A. O. (2019). *Rural-urban disparity in Nigerian development: An assessment of infrastructural factors.*

<https://www.journalofurbanresearch.com/rural-urban-disparity-nigeria>.

Akinola, O. (2021). *Governance and Sustainable Development in Nigeria's Rural Areas*. <https://www.governanceinstitute.org/sustainable-development-nigeria>.

Akpabio, E. (2012). Community Participation in Health Interventions in Nigeria. <https://www.communityhealthjournals.org/nigeria-participation>.

Albrecht, L., Jäger, W., & Ziegler, R. (2021). Empowering communities through dialogue: Reconsidering development practices. *International Journal of Development Studies*, 30(1), 45-60.

Aminah, S. (2022). The role of local government developing participatory learning methods in empowering small farmers. *E3s Web of Conferences*, 361, 03016. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202236103016>

Anani-Bossman, A., & Blankson, I.A. (2024). Participatory Communication for Sustainable Development: A Study of the Access Project in Ghana. In T., Mmutle, T.B., Molale, O.O., Akinola, & O. Selebi (eds), *Strategic Communication Management for Development and Social Change* (pp. 41–59). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41401-5\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41401-5_3)

Asak, M., & Mbazie, S. (2024). Communication Strategies for Community Development: A Study of World Bank SEEFOR-CDDS Projects in Ukwa East Communities, Abia State, Nigeria. In T., Mmutle, T.B., Molale, O.O., Akinola, & O. Selebi (eds), *Strategic Communication Management for Development and Social Change* (pp. 123–139). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41401-5\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41401-5_7)

- Cruz, E., & Baez, P. (2021). Barriers to effective participatory communication in community development. *Journal of Community Development*, 56(3), 245-260.
- Engjellushe, E. (2013). Education for sustainable development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 2(4), 227. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2013.v2n4p227>
- Ezeh, C., & Agwu, A. (2018). Participatory Communication for Sustainable Rural Development in Nigeria. <https://www.ruraldevcom.org/participatory-communication-nigeria>.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. <https://www.freedominstitute.org/pedagogy-of-oppressed>.
- Gascoigne, T., Metcalfe, J., & Riedlinger, M. (2022). The ladder of power: science communication and citizen science. *Revista Lusófona De Estudos Culturais*, 9(2), 15-27. <https://doi.org/10.21814/rlec.4059>
- Gómez, R. & Beltrán, M. (2024). Fostering community dialogue through participatory methods: a people-first approach in participatory development activities. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 61(1), 516-520. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pra2.1050>
- Guénin, M., Nys, H., Peyre, M., Loire, É., Thongyuan, S., Diallo, A., ... & Goutard, F. (2022). A participatory epidemiological and one health approach to explore the community's capacity to detect emerging zoonoses and surveillance network opportunities in the forest region of guinea. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 16(7), e0010462. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010462>

- Gupta, V., & Deo, S. (2023). Mobilizing engagement: The role of mobile technology in local governance. *Journal of Digital Communication*, 15(2), 234-250.
- Gwede, C., Menard, J., Martinez-Tyson, D., Lee, J., Vadaparampil, S., Padhya, T., ... & Meade, C. (2009). Strategies for assessing community challenges and strengths for cancer disparities participatory research and outreach. *Health Promotion Practice*, 11(6), 876-887. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839909335803>
- Hidayat, D. & Syahid, A. (2019). Local potential development (local genius) in community empowerment. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 5(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jne.v5i1.18343>
- Ibuot, U., Majemu, S., & Nwantah, F. (2021). Participatory development communication: an audience-centered initiative. *The International Journal of Humanities & Social Studies*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.24940/theijhss/2021/v9/i6/hs2106-030>
- Jato, T. (2022). Does their geography matter? assessing the impact of geographical factors on development of rural communities in benue state, nigeria. *African Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 5(3), 83-103. <https://doi.org/10.52589/ajesd-iizlw0rs>
- Kimengsi, J. and Gwan, S. (2017). Reflections on decentralization, community empowerment and sustainable development in cameroon. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Social Sciences*, 1(2), 53-60. <https://doi.org/10.20448/2001.12.53.60>
- Koningstein, M. & Azadegan, S. (2018). Participatory video for two-way communication in research for development. *Action Research*, 19(2), 218-236. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750318762032>

- Letsie, H., & Osunkule, O. (2024). An Exploration of Participatory Communication Practices in Sustainable Development and Poverty Alleviation: A Case Study of Lifajaneng Village. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096241235297>
- Liman, A. & Ngah, I. (2015). Impact assessment of leemp (local empowerment and environmental management project) in adamawa state of nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering - A*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.17265/2162-5298/2015.01.006>
- McGowan, C., Takahashi, E., Romig, L., Bertram, K., Kadir, A., Cummings, R., ... & Cardinal, L. (2022). Community-based surveillance of infectious diseases: a systematic review of drivers of success. *BMJ Global Health*, 7(8), e009934. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2022-009934>
- Mefalopulos, P. (2017). *Communication for Development and Social Change in Nigeria*. <https://www.comdevstudies.org/participatory-communication-nigeria>.
- Molosi-France, K. and Dipholo, K. (2019). Re-thinking participatory rural development in botswana: is the enemy in the theory or in the implementation process of the theory?. *The International Journal of Community and Social Development*, 1(4), 295-309. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516602619894411>
- Nyamnjoh, F. (2021). Digital divides and development communication: Addressing disparities in access. *Development Communication Report*, 37(1), 15-21.
- Ogunleye, O., Adetunji, M., & Kome, A. (2023). Climate change storytelling: Community narratives and

environmental advocacy in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Communication*, VI 17(1), 20-35.

Okeke, J. C., et al. (2022). Challenges of Health Care Delivery in Rural Nigeria. <https://www.healthdevelopmentjournal.org/healthcare-rural-nigeria>.

Othieno, M. (2022). Community engagement through radio: A youth-led initiative in Kenya. *African Journal of Communication*, 39(2), 99-115.

Phillips, R., & Pittman, R. H. (2009). *An introduction to community development*. Routledge.

Schrock, G., Bassett, E., & Green, J. (2015). Pursuing equity and justice in a changing climate. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 35(3), 282-295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456x15580022>

Servaes, J. (2018). *Participatory Communication Research for Sustainable Development*. <https://www.sustainableresearchhub.org/participatory-communication>.

Servaes, J. (2021). Communication for development: The need for participatory frameworks. *International Communication Gazette*, 83(1), 6-23.

Silva, R., Almeida, J., & Pinto, L. (2022). Urban agriculture and community resilience: A participatory approach in Brazilian cities. *Urban Studies Research*, 2022, Doi 654321.

Singh, H., & Bharti, J. (2021). Development communication: Theoretical note. *Strad Research*, 8(11), .

Stacherzak, A., He, M., & Raszka, B. (2012). Planning documents and sustainable development of a commune in poland. <https://doi.org/10.2495/eid120031>

- Swain, R., & Wallentin, F. (2019). Achieving sustainable development goals: predicaments and strategies. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 27(2), 96-106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2019.1692316>
- Tanabe, M., Pearce, E., & Krause, S. (2017). “Nothing about us, without us”: conducting participatory action research among and with persons with disabilities in humanitarian settings. *Action Research*, 16(3), 280-298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750316685878>
- Tufte, T., & Mefalopulos, P. (2009). *Participatory Communication: A Practical Guide*. <https://www.worldbank.org/participatory-communication-guide>.
- Turmudi, H. (2020). Participatory communication based on digital platforms for communities in indonesia. *International Journal of Science and Society*, 1(1), 70-80. <https://doi.org/10.54783/ijsoc.v1i1.49>
- UNICEF. (2020). *Education and Gender Equality in Nigeria*. <https://www.unicef.org/nigeria/education>